

## **College liberals think people who voted for Trump and Brexit are dumb but letting them brainwash our society is what's stupid**

Katie Hopkins

November 22, 2016

I have just spent an entertaining twenty minutes acting as understudy for Milo Yiannopoulos, poster boy for young Team Trump and self-styled 'most fabulous super-villain on the internet'.

I was speaking on his behalf because, once again, Milo - now a major name in the US - has been censored from speaking here in the UK at his old school, the Simon Langton Grammar School for Boys.

Outraged at the prospect of a right-winger speaking freely at a state-funded school, the Department for Education's counter-extremism unit stuck on its full riot gear announcing Milo posed a threat to both the security and reputation of the school.

Rather than let the 220 pupils who had signed up to hear him speak, listen, challenge him and make up their own minds, it was decided that exposing pupils to anything other than a liberal viewpoint could be damaging.

It is a curious thing.

Milo has been banned from Twitter for being on the wrong side of their curated feed. Banned from speaking in our schools, for failing to buy wholesale into the feminist struggle. And no-platformed at college campuses across the USA and UK for being insufficiently adoring of Islam.

As far as I can make out, his biggest actual crime is providing a young and attractive face of Conservative politics — which runs contrary to the liberal mantra that all conservatives are fat, old, straight, rich, white men. A group of people they sneer at with disdain.

Milo is a gay Catholic. And not a shy one. He is deliberately provocative. He says the only response to a culture of outrage on campus is to be outrageous. And he is true to his word.

Yiannopoulos started a college scholarship fund exclusively for white men, initially as a joke, 'something that would wind up social-justice warriors,' he acknowledged.

It is very real now, however. The Yiannopoulos Privilege Grant has \$125,000 in funds, collected from private donors, which will be disbursed in \$2,500 grants in 2017—2018 exclusively to

'white men who wish to pursue their post-secondary education on equal footing with their female, queer and ethnic-minority classmates'.

He is trying to rebalance a system that is heavily weighted in favor of those who seek to classify themselves as minorities or 'special' or 'different'.

The same champions of diversity who will not tolerate diversity of thought or opinion.

College campuses now lean so far to the left and to the politically correct that there is a real danger those on the right can no longer even clamber on board.

And it is not the case that kids inevitably become more liberal as they become increasingly intelligent and 'enlightened'. It is simply the result of having their heads shoved in a liberal echo-chamber listening to the sound of left wing propaganda.

According to the Washington Post, over the past quarter-century professors have swerved towards the far-left. In 1990 42 percent of professors identified as 'liberal' or 'far-left.' By 2014, that number had jumped to 60 percent.

Over the same period, the number of academics identifying as 'moderate' fell by 13 percentage points, and the share of 'conservative' and 'far-right' professors dropped nearly six points.

Young people are educated almost exclusively by liberals or the far left who outnumber conservatives on campus by roughly 5 to 1.

Among the wider population, on the other hand, conservatives are resurgent, as the 'surprise' victory of Brexit and Trump have evidenced.

The divide between college-educated and non-college-educated people has never been greater.

Which is part of the reason the media never saw Trump coming. Most of them don't know anyone without a college degree, let alone understand them.

In the real world, small-business owners in the US are anxious the cost of healthcare premiums will be greater than they can afford to pay

On the campus of California's State University kids were given 'healing spaces' to cope with the pain caused by a political speech delivered three months earlier.

The most difficult decision a typical student faces is which gender they want to assign themselves for the morning. Or whether to blog about their struggle with depression last Sunday after they finished a box set of Peaky Blinders. Meanwhile real families are struggling to get their kids to school on time and wondering if their contract at work will be renewed.

A generation who grew up understanding the hard-fought battles that protect our sovereignty and freedom, who lost relatives in the fight, proud of our culture, now face being lectured to by a new generation of liberals released from university having studied no history at all.

The overwhelming majority of America's most prestigious institutions do not require even the students who major in history to take a single course on United States history or government.

Instead, the University of California, Santa Barbara, uses 'feminist methodologies' to understand how Girl Scout cookie sales 'reproduce hegemonic gender roles'. The journal GeoHumanities explores how pumpkins reveal 'racial and class coding of rural versus urban places'.

One-third of students can't place the Civil War in a correct 20-year timespan or identify Franklin Roosevelt as the architect of the New Deal.

In the UK the picture is surprisingly similar.

Jago Pearson wrote this about his experience learning history at a top British university:

'I arrived on campus, a mere 18 years old, excited to be studying a history joint honours degree at a university recently ranked in the UK's top-five for doing just that.

'We studied Asian development through the centuries as a means of attacking brutal British imperialism (incidentally taught via the medium of contemporary novels). We learnt about the European Union, painted as the great continental peacemaker. We learnt about Barack Obama's remarkable grassroots fundraising efforts to beat the idiotic American Republicans and Tea Party crew.

'And we listened to attack after attack on right-wing organisations, such as the Cato and Adam Smith Institute, from bitter and biased professors.

'Looking back now, it is all the more extraordinary. Both what was taught and the way it was conveyed was so incredibly partisan.'

Higher Education colleges have become the shock-troops of the liberal establishment.

This year's laughably PC stunt in Universities becomes next year's government policy and enshrined in your company's HR handbook the next.

We have allowed ourselves to become a two-tier society.

Students are ingrained with an extreme liberal bias and then go on to work in media, showbiz and the civil service, thereby joining the Establishment and the elite who spread their crippling PC worldview into every sector of life.

Why do you think it is the left has always been so keen on expanding the student population? The goal for 50% of kids, no matter how stupid, to attend university was established by Blair in the UK to fulfil a left-wing agenda to monopolise thought.

What the left does not understand, cannot understand, is that ordinary working-class voters are not deeply moved by the plight of transvestites or raging feminists and their vagendas.

They are focussed on jobs, migration, family, law and order. They place their faith with anyone who does not belong to the liberal elite these next-gen naives yearn to be part of.

Trump enjoyed massive support from people without college degrees. Brexit did, too.

The big question is how can the right make use of this window to re-balance the politics of higher education before demography takes its course and it's too late?

We need to stop the censorship and seize the moment.

This is the best chance we are likely to get. Because, contrary to what the left thinks, uneducated does not mean stupid.

Those who haven't had their brains scrambled by a liberal education may turn out to be the smartest of us all.