

11
Jan

Feel free to skip this post

by DougJarvus Green-Ellis

I feel compelled to respond to [Kain's post](#) not to be last wordist or inflict more blogospheric navel-gazing on you (though commenters seemed to enjoy the gazing so far today), but because I was a bit sloppy with my use of the word "libertarians". To Kain, it means anyone who calls himself a libertarian, whereas in my usage there, I mean Reason magazine, Megan McArdle, and the CATO institute. In fairness, Kain's usage is probably more fair/accurate than mine here.

Go peruse Reason magazine and see if you can find a single article about corporate abuse of power. I'm sure there's one somewhere in the archives, but there are none that I could see on the frontpage. I also suspect that the articles on corporate abuse of power in the archives focus on government-corporation colluding to abuse power, as was the case with the CATO piece ED used as an example of libertarians criticizing corporations.

There's no point in playing the No True Scotsman game here. I think it's clear that the libertarian online presence, such as it is, is primarily (though not exclusively) corporatist, as one would expect given that it exists at all in so small part through the beneficence of the Koch family.

[Blogospheric Navel-Gazing](#), [Glibertarianism](#), [Going Galt](#) | 3:01 pm | [Respond](#) | [Trackback](#) |