

- SCOTUSblog - <http://www.scotusblog.com> -

Petition of the day

Posted By [Conor McEvily](#) On May 19, 2011 @ 8:46 am In [Cases in the Pipeline](#) | [Comments Disabled](#)

Today's [petition of the day](#) ^[1] is:

Title: *National Corn Growers Association v. Environmental Protection Agency*

Docket: [10-1031](#) ^[2]

Issue(s): Whether the District of Columbia Circuit has properly construed the hearing requirement and related rules of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

Certiorari stage documents:

- [Opinion below \(DC Cir.\)](#) ^[3]
- [Petition for certiorari](#) ^[4]
- [Brief in opposition](#) ^[5]
- [Petitioners' reply](#) ^[6]
- [Amicus brief of American Chemistry Council, et. al.](#) ^[7]
- [Amicus brief of Pacific Legal Foundation and the Cato Institute](#) ^[8]
- [Amicus brief of Croplife America and American Farm Bureau Federation](#)

Article printed from SCOTUSblog: <http://www.scotusblog.com>

URL to article: <http://www.scotusblog.com/2011/05/petition-of-the-day-117/>

URLs in this post:

[1] petition of the day: <http://scotusblog.com/about/petition-of-the-day-explained/>

[2] 10-1031: <http://www.supremecourt.gov/Search.aspx?FileName=/docketfiles/10-1031.htm>

[3] Opinion below (DC Cir.): [http://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/opinions.nsf/25A24885B2412F07852578070070EC06/\\$file/09-1284-1256951.pdf](http://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/opinions.nsf/25A24885B2412F07852578070070EC06/$file/09-1284-1256951.pdf)

[4] Petition for certiorari: <http://sblog.s3.amazonaws.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/10-1031.pdf>

[5] Brief in opposition: <http://sblog.s3.amazonaws.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/10-1031-BIO.pdf>

[6] Petitioners' reply: <http://sblog.s3.amazonaws.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/1031-reply.pdf>

[7] *Amicus* brief of American Chemistry Council, et. al.: <http://sblog.s3.amazonaws.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/1031-chem.pdf>

[8] *Amicus* brief of Pacific Legal Foundation and the Cato Institute: <http://sblog.s3.amazonaws.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/1031-pac-legal.pdf>

Copyright © 2007 SCOTUSblog. All rights reserved.