## **Repackaging Soft on Crime**

February 10, 2011 10:52 AM | Posted by Kent Scheidegger | 1 Comment |

The folks who want to repeat the sentencing mistakes of the 1960s are putting a lot of effort into the repackaging of old ideas. Today the Constitution Project announced with great fanfare this report titled with the repackagers' favorite term, "Smart on Crime." (Every con man tells you it would be smart to accept his deal, and you would be a fool to pass up the great opportunity.)

The bulk of the report is pro-thug. The death penalty chapter proposes eviscerating AEDPA, the exact opposite of the change actually needed. Astonishingly, the report asserts that "death sentences are disproportionately imposed on people of color." In a debate with me on NPR on November 7, 2007, Constitution Project President Virginia Sloan said, "It's not the race of the defendant that is the major factor, and I don't think there are many studies that claim that." Right. The basis for the new, contrary assertion is our old friend, the Fallacy of the Irrelevant Denominator: "with African Americans comprising more than 40% of today's death-row inmates while constituting only 12% of the national population." If you use the relevant denominator, murderers, there is no disproportion.

The first chapter is on overcriminalization and overfederalization, legitimate beefs that I have noted here before. But they are fair-weather federalists. They call for increased federal involvement in juvenile justice, an issue with little legitimate federal role.

The Constitution Project claims a "diverse coalition" for their soft-on-crime proposals. Most of the coalition members listed on page vi are decidedly lefty, such as George Soros's Open Society Institute. They also list Cato Institute, a libertarian organization allied with conservative free-marketers on economic issues but listing left on criminal law issues (even though they deny it). Institute for Justice is similar.

Chapter 1 claims the Heritage Foundation as a contributor, and they do have a project on the overcriminalization and overfederalization issues, but Heritage is conspicuously missing from the coalition list on page vi. We will try to clarify where Heritage stands on this. I very much doubt they endorse the bulk of this report.