Twitter Facebo Share

Email

Print

A A A

# Should states be able to override federal government?

12:00 AM, May. 18, 2011	Comments
-------------------------	----------

FILED UNDER	PBS aired an interesting documentary this week
Opinion	about the 1961 Freedom Riders on its "American
Editorials	Experience" series.

It was 50 years ago this month that the Freedom Rides began. Civil rights activists set out to ride buses from Washington, D.C., to New Orleans to determine if federal law would, indeed, supersede the "Jim Crow" laws that mandated segregation of

the races, mainly in the South. One bus was attacked and burned at Anniston, Ala., and a mob attacked the second bus in Birmingham, Ala. From there, the Freedom Rides snowballed and led to some of the ugliest confrontations of the civil rights movement before, ultimately, the Interstate Commerce Commission outlawed desegregation in the American transportation system.

Key elements of the Freedom Rides were to determine if the federal government would ensure the rights and safety of American travelers, regardless of race, and whether the federal government would enforce the U.S. Supreme Court's decisions declaring the "Jim Crow" laws unconstitutional. In essence, it was a test of federal authority vs. state authority.

Some people at that time, and even today, believed the federal government was overstepping its bounds, that it was treading in areas that were in the states' purview. Many states, again primarily in the South, disagreed with the federal government and saw it as an attempt to override their authority.

Flash forward from 1961 to today. A group of lawmakers in Congress are promoting what's been dubbed the "Repeal Amendment." It's a proposal that would allow states to override and reject federal laws with which they disagree. On a two-thirds vote of state legislatures, a federal law could be repealed. It would be returned to Congress, which could override the repeal. However, proponents contend that wouldn't be likely if the lawmakers wanted to keep their jobs at the next election.

In today's political climate, this is a proposal that could gain traction. There's already an organized effort behind it. The Cato Institute has declared it would be "a new political check on the threat to American liberties posed by a runaway federal government."

It should be noted that some proponents date the beginning of runaway federal government to 1913, when the 16th and 17th Amendments were adopted. The 16h Amendment instituted the federal income tax, and the 17th Amendment provided for the direct popular election of U.S. senators, who previously had been selected by state legislatures. It also should be noted that the states themselves ratified both amendments.

TOP STORIES	<b>i</b>
Top 2011 Nor AM, May. 18, 20	fork High School graduates - 5:15 11
Hadrys heade	ed to Williams
The ramp: Gr adored	een, scrawny and irrationally

#### MOST POPULAR

- 1. Dad: Motorcycle sale to pay for daughter's funeral
- 2. Top 2011 Norfork High School graduates
- 3. Tracy Fancher of Mountain Home takes national award
- Alarming combo: Bedbugs with 'superbug' germ found
- 5. Baxter County Sheriff's Office prepares for Click It or Ticket





father's irises

Moon rocks land at Pinkston

#### MOST COMMENTED

Keli Goff: Can GOP overcome problem with race?	58
Michael Reagan: It's tyranny vs. freedom as Boeing takes on NLRB	41
Letter to the Editor: Ethanol is not worth the enegy	8
Tom Purcell: Time to break out the bell bottoms again	7
Ruth Marcus: John Boehner really needs a reality check on the deficit	6

There are proponents of the Repeal Amendment who would just as soon return to those pre-1913 days, although they admit repealing the popular election of U.S. senators likely would not meet with public favor.

Note, also, that this is the runaway federal government that subsequent to 1913 enacted amendments allowing women and racial minorities to vote; created Social Security, upon which so many older Americans depend; created Medicare, again a program upon which so many older Americans depend for their health care; and enacted the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voters Right Act of 1965.

It is true that some of these have been jumping-off points for more and expanded government programs, some of which have proven beneficial to Americans and some of which have been wastes of taxpayers' money. We're seeing what appears to be a near-panic among many Americans fearing the country's on the verge of complete collapse unless all this spending comes to a halt beneficial, wasteful or in-between, just stop it all. And while you're at it, some say, let's enact a way for states to stop the federal government from enacting laws we don't like, such as the health care law.

Does some federal spending need to be curtailed? Yes. Will that solve all our nation's problems? No. Are there federal programs that should be curtailed? Yes. Do all federal programs need to be cut? No.

Do we want to open the door for state governments to overrule the federal government on action we don't like? That's a question Americans may have to answer if the Repeal Amendment gains footing.

Socially and culturally, America's not likely to revert to the way things were just 50 years ago, when states did ignore the federal government. Politically, the question now may be do we want to enact a means for states to ignore or override the federal government? It's a tough question. Our unscientific Online Poll on the issue split 50/50 for the first time ever between those who favor it and those who oppose it.

— tag

Let us know what you think by writing us at The Baxter Bulletin at P.O. Box 1750, Mountain Home, AR 72654, by sending an e-mail to newsroom@baxterbulletin.com, or by offering comments at www.baxterbulletin.com.

#### Comments | Share your thoughts »

YOU MIGHT ALSO BE INTERESTED IN

MORE EDITORIALS HEADLINES

Should states be able to override federal government? | The Baxter Bulletin... http://www.baxterbulletin.com/article/20110518/OPINION01/105180307...

Should states be able to override federal government? Gene Policinski: Trying to stifle student reporting just won't work DeWayne Wickham: Let's dump image of angry black woman How to contact quorum court members

Selected for you by a sponsor:

#### ARCHIVES

View the last seven days

Yesterday, May. 17 Monday, May. 16 Sunday, May. 15 Saturday, May. 14 Friday, May. 13 Thursday, May. 12 Wednesday, May. 11

See our paid archives for news older than a week.

# THINGS TO DO WED THU FRI SAT SUN MON TUE 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 Zumba Gold X X X X X X

Van Matre Senior Center Mountain Home, AR

Big Flat School Mates Luncheon Larry's Pizza Mountain Home, AR

MORE EVENTS | SUBMIT AN EVENT

## HELP

Subscribe Today! Pay My Bill Manage Subscription Delivery Problems Vacation Stop Place Classified Ad Ad Information Contact Us MORE HELP

#### **READER PHOTOS**

Trennedy opening birthday presents By: lyndajo



feeding in backyard By: Anonymous

**pink peony in my flower bed** By: Anonymous

MORE READER PHOTOS | POST YOUR

Site Map | Back to Top

NEWS	COMMUNITIES	SPORTS	OBITUARIES	HELP	FOLLOW US
Local News	Mountain Home	Local Sports		Contact Us	Twitter
Nation & World	Bull Shoals	High School Sports		Customer Service	Facebook
Business	Cotter	Outdoors		Subscribe	Mobile
Community	Flippin	Sports Galleries		Staff Directory	
Archives	Gassville	LIFESTYLE	FESTYLE	Archives	RSS
Photo Galleries Norfork	Norfork	Living			E-mail Alerts
Public Record	Yellville	Entertainment			
Military Roll Call	Lakeview	Food & Dining			
VOICES & VIEWS	Big Flat	Health			
	Briarcliff	Outdoors			
Opinion Page	Salesville	Outdoors			
Blogs Forums	Summit				
Columnists	Gamaliel				
Columnists	Midway				
	Viola				
	Clarkridge				
	MULTIMEDIA				
	Photo Galleries				
	Videos				
	Local Sports Galleries				

### GANNETT

Copyright © 2011 www.baxterbulletin.com. All rights reserved. Users of this site agree to the Terms of Service, Privacy Notice/Your California Privacy Rights, and Ad Choices