



## Three More Global Warming Stories Media Don't Want You To See

December 31, 2015

Climate Change: Alarmists have had a field day with the unusually balmy weather in the East. But as is routine, stories that counter the narrative about man-made warming are ignored. Here are three more.

First is a peer-reviewed paper showing that only 36% of 1,077 geoscientists and engineers surveyed believe in the man-made global warming crisis as defined by the United Nations' Kyoto model.

According to the paper, the Kyoto position expresses "the strong belief that climate change is happening, that it is not a normal cycle of nature, and humans are the main or central cause."

Thirty-six percent is not insignificant. But it certainly is a long way from the oft-cited 97% "consensus" among scientists that man is causing temperatures to change.

Researchers behind "Science or Science Fiction? Professionals' Discursive Construction of Climate Change," which appeared in Organization Studies, also found "the proportion of papers" collected from a science database "that explicitly endorsed anthropogenic climate change has fallen from 75%" between 1993 and 2003 "to 45% from 2004 to 2008."

The Heartland Institute's James Taylor reminds us in Forbes that "survey results show geoscientists (also known as earth scientists) and engineers hold similar views as meteorologists. Two recent surveys of meteorologists revealed similar skepticism of alarmist global warming claims."

Missed story No. 2: Greenland, the alarmists' coal-mine canary, retained 99.7% of its ice mass in the 20th century. Yes, it reportedly lost 9,000 billion tons of ice in the same period. But as the "Watts Up With That" blog notes, that's "not even a tiny nick when spread out over roughly 1.7 million square kilometers of ice surface" — working out to a sheet five meters thick on an island where the average thickness is about 1,500 meters.

Finally, we have the work of the scholars at the Cato Institute, who have confirmed that climate models that warn of warming have been wrong for decades.

"If the known climate behavior cannot be well-captured by the models," they say, "no case can be made for the veracity of projections, from the same models, of the future evolution of our climate."

The media don't want to report such findings, even when they are made aware of them. But ignoring them doesn't change the facts that are contained within and finally dawning on the public at large.