

Climate change and legal action

Charles G. Battig

April 29, 2016

Facing a series of failures of climate catastrophes to occur as predicted and/or postulated, our federal government has put its own twist on this, and is now acting to sue climate scientists who have successfully rebutted many of the computer-generated, man-made climate scare claims.

In the face of overwhelming historical evidence that a changing global climate is the norm over the eons, and that the past 10 years of satellite recordings show no statistically valid change in global temperatures (dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-2259012/Global-warming-Met-Office-releases-revised-global-temperature-predictions-showing-planet-NOT-rapidly-heating-up.html), even as atmospheric carbon dioxide has risen about 10 percent, our federal government seems to be exercising its "only one option."

Attorney General Loretta Lynch and the Department of Justice are reported to have discussed using civil action and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act against companies, scientists and others who question the claim that humans are causing catastrophic climate change (eelegal.org/2016/04/18/mrctv-liberal-ags-secretly-met-w-climate-activists-before-launching-exxon-investigation/).

The first organizational victim of this pogrom is the Competitive Enterprise Institute. On April 7, a subpoena from Attorney General Claude E. Walker of the U.S. Virgin Islands was served requesting a decade of the organization's materials and work on climate change policy (cato.org/blog/our-friends-cei-face-subpoena-over-climate-dissent). New York Attorney General Eric Schneiderman and former Vice President Al Gore are leading this legal attack on inconvenient science.