# Weigel:

Reporting about politics and policy

Search this blog

Home

## « Prev | Main | Next »

# If It's Not on a Sign, It's Not Racist!

Posted Wednesday, October 20, 2010 8:36 AM | By David Weigel

As the NAACP gets set to release a report on the Tea Party, I'm seeing a lot more chatter about UCLA grad student/Cato scholar Emily Ekins's project that allegedly debunked "racism" in the Tea Party.

Ekins set out to photograph every single poster and sign at the 9/12 Taypayer March on Washington in September to see if the tens of thousands of Americans that make up the Tea Party movement are really running on racism.

After tediously combing through the crowd and over 250 photographs later, Ekins discovered, based on the signs, that the claims of rampant racism simply weren't true. "Over 50 percent were about limited government and lower spending, and only about 6 percent were controversial in nature," Ekins told Fox News.

I know Ekins and don't doubt that this is what she find. I think the NAACP was fairly silly, when it launched its campaign against the Tea Party, to focus on "offensive Tea Party signs." But I'd be surprised if the NAACP's report hones in on that. Why? Because why are the signs that people hold in front of TV cameras the best way of determining whether they have darker motivations for being there? If you spend a lot of time talking to Syndication Tea Partiers, as I do, you do, sadly, encounter people who doubt that Barack Obama was RSS 2.0 born in America, even though they don't have "village in Kenya has lost its idiot" signs. And you encounter people who blame ACORN for the subprime mortgage mess, instead of mostly white Wall Street and hedge fund gamblers. In polls, you find that Tea Partiers are more likely than other people to think that government policy focuses too much on the needs of black people.

Now, all of that does not mean "the Tea Party is racist." I don't know how a "racist" movement could have gotten behind Tim Scott, Allen West, Ryan Frazier, and Nikki Haley. But judging whether or not people have socially unacceptable biases based on whether or not they broadcast them on signs make a ton of sense.

Filed under: tea party, racism

0 Comments Add Yours

Or join the discussion on the Fray

# YOU MIGHT ALSO LIKE:

- What did dinosaur meat taste like? (from Slate)
- A Brief, Subjective Guide to the Baseball Playoffs (from Slate Scocca)
- Saying "I Don't" In Front Of Family and Friends (from Slate XXFactor)
- Reading the Tea Party (from Slate Weigel)

Selected for you by our sponsor:

- Boehner and GOP to Obama: 'Where are the jobs?' (from USATODAY.com)
- The 20 Worst Charities in America (from MainStreet.com)

# 0 Comments



# Recent Posts

Hanging on the Telephone If It's Not on a Sign, It's Not Racist! Last Call: Buddha Annals of Brutal Campaign Ads: John McCain A Weird Fib in a Sharron Angle Ad

# Archives

October 2010 (137) September 2010 (218) August 2010 (199)

Atom 1.0

Sponsored Links

### **Help Our Wounded Vets**

Join the Wounded Warrior Project and help our vets through recovery www.woundedwarriorproject.org

#### "Six Sigma" Certification

Enroll in Villanova University's prestigious six sigma certification program - 100%... VillanovaU.com/

### **Penny Stocks to Watch**

Get the alerts before anyone else. Gain as much as 625%! www.GladiatorStocks.com

Buy a link here

#### **Popular Tags** 2010

2010 midterm elections 2012 2012 presidential election 60 Plus Association 9/11 adrian fenty alaska Alaska primary Americans for Prosperity barack obama ben quayle birther campaign finance carl paladino Chris Coons christine o'donnell colorado connecticut conservatives D.C. Dale peterson