Search by topic, title, keyword...

HOME U.S. NEWS WORLD NEWS ECONOMY SCI/TECH HISTORY REVIEWS CULTURE OPINION SUBSCRIBE!

Congress Politics Immigration Health Care Foreign Policy Crime Constitution

Requiring Health Insurance Is Not Like Auto

| Print | E-mail

WRITTEN BY STEVEN J. DUBORD
TUESDAY, 15 SEPTEMBER 2009 16:25



As President Obama and Democrats in Congress try to build a case for requiring all Americans to purchase health insurance, they have compared it to mandatory auto insurance laws — but the analogy quickly breaks down.

"Unless everybody does their part, many of the insurance reforms we seek, especially requiring insurance companies to cover preexisting conditions, just can't be achieved," Obama told Congress in his recent address. "That's why under my plan, individuals will be required to carry basic health insurance — just as most states require you to carry

auto insurance."

The comparison sounds reasonable at first. Most states do require drivers to have auto insurance, and the President simply wants a federal law to do the same for health insurance.

But the first difference is the difference between states requiring only those who drive automobiles to carry auto insurance and Obama's proposal that would force every single American to buy health insurance. The auto insurance requirement can be avoided by simply not driving a car. This may be an inconvenience, but not driving allows the freedom to avoid purchasing auto insurance. The President's plan gives no possibility of exemption.

"You can avoid the auto insurance mandate by divesting yourself of a car. The only way to avoid a health insurance mandate is by divesting yourself of a body," said Cato Institute Director of Health Policy Studies Michael Cannon. Ironically, the only way to escape the proposed health insurance requirement is to cease to be healthy to the point of death.

The second difference is that auto insurance is required primarily to pay for any damages to other persons or property that might result from the driver's actions. The insurance is only secondarily meant to repair or replace the driver's vehicle, something the states have no real interest in requiring. In fact, many drivers get by without collision coverage because they are driving an older vehicle that is no longer worth the expense.

"The primary purpose of the auto insurance mandate was to provide financial protection for people that a driver may harm, and not necessarily for the driver himself," wrote former Department of Health and Human Services officials Peter Urbanowicz and Dennis Smith in a paper for the Federalist Society for Law and Public Policy Studies.

Health insurance, on the other hand, is essentially collision coverage. With cars, it is the old, rusty vehicles that don't need collision insurance, but with people, it is the young and healthy who don't necessarily need coverage. Obama would twist things so that those who don't need the insurance are the ones who pay the burden of insuring everyone else.

The third difference is that auto insurance laws originate at the state level, while Obama is proposing an unprecedented federal law. With state laws, people have the choice of working within their state to change the law, or they may decide to move to another state with less burdensome regulations. Federal laws allow for no escape other than leaving the country.

This brings to mind a 1994 paper prepared by the Congressional Budget Office to address President Bill Clinton's proposal for a national health insurance mandate. "A mandate requiring all individuals to purchase health insurance would be an unprecedented form of federal action," the CBO stated.

In fact, the closest comparison the CBO could make was to something that did cause some people to flee the country — the draft: "Federal mandates that apply to individuals as members of society are extremely rare. One example is the requirement that draft-age men register with the Selective Service System. The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) is not aware of any others imposed by current federal law."

So the government wants to make people who don't purchase health insurance guilty of a federal crime equivalent to draft dodging during a time of war. This is absurd. Can anyone imagine throwing people into America's already-overcrowded prisons for the federal offense of not buying health insurance and then refusing or being unable to pay the fine?

U.S. Headlines

ACORN Losing Support

Requiring Health Insurance Is Not Like Auto

Media Targets State Sovereignty Movement

Healthcare Hirelings

Media Shocked by D.C. Tea Party Turnout

Obama Pledges to "Renew Our Resolve" Against Al-Qaeda





1 of 2 9/16/2009 3:42 PM

The federal government exceeded its constitutional limitations in the first place and established the managed-care system that rules America's healthcare today. Now the federal government is once again proposing more federal power as the answer to problems caused by unrestrained federal power. Americans need to get back in the driver seat by telling their elected officials to avoid colliding with any such repressive reform proposals.		ShopJBS.org Amazon Store The Politically Incorrect Guide Kevin R. C. Gutzma New \$14.36 Best \$11.50 Shop Store Shop Store Amazon Store Miracle At Philadelphia Catherine Drink New \$14.55 Best \$0.06	
	Photo: AP Images	Commentaries on	19th century
Email Thi	s Set as favorite	the Constitution Joseph Story New \$37.04 Best \$29.92	No image available Communists & the origin of American publi Thomson Gale
rackback(0)		26% off	New \$5.95 Best \$5.95
TrackBack URI for this entry			
comments (1)¬¬¬		Privacy Information	
Subscribe to this comment's feed		IF THE IN	SURANCE
onnie said:			IES WIN,
Ironic, isin't it? If you refuse to purchase health insurance, and refuse to pay the fine, you can be	e thrown into		LOSE.
prison.		100	LUJE.
And while in prison, you get YUP! Free healthcare!	n report abuse		
up	ii report abuse	FIGHT	BACK
September 15, 2009		1.11.3.1.1	
Votes: +1			
/rite comment		healthcareforamericanow.org	Ads by Go
Email			
Website			
Fitle			
Comment			
smaller bigger			
Subscribe via email (Registered users only)			
I have read and agree to the Terms of Usage.			
Add Comment			
OUT STAFF COLUMNISTS SHOP SUBSCRIBE GET INVOLVE	ED ADVERTISE	CONTACT US	

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The New American Magazine. All rights reserved.

2 of 2