Subscribe

About

Topics Print Archives

Cover Gallery

Daily Brickbats

Podcasts RSS Feeds Advertise

Donate

Events

Reason Stuff

Submissions

Contact Us

Hit & Run - Blog

Subscriber Services

Staff Contributors

Specialize in: Conflict Management, Terrorism, or Commerce





reason.com

reason.tv

reason.org

Donate

Site Search:



REASON.TV VIDEO: Drugs, and This Tuesday son... (9.20)

REASON.TV VIDEO: Adam Carolla is Tuesday! (9.19)

PRINT I

EMAIL

FREE **Short Stories** Dramatizing Fundamental Ideas and Virtues Reason, Honesty, et al. www.robertsproule.com It's Free

ADVERTISEMENTS

ObamaCare Is Six Months Old And The Obama Administration Wants Everyone To Know How Proud It ls

Peter Suderman | September 20, 2010

Those who insist on commemorating every meaningless partial-year anniversary may be pleased to find that this week marks the six month anniversary of the new health care law's passage. In order to mark this occasion, President Obama and his team are planning a party, or at least a P.R. offensive, which may or may not be aimed partially at convincing anxious Democratic politicians—who'd been assured that, by now, the public would be grinning ear-to-ear every time they heard the word "ObamaCare"-that the steadily unpopular law was actually a good idea. Nervous party members need to stiffen their spines, quit trying to display an independent streak, and support team-D on this one, pronto.

You can't have a party without some goodies. In this case, the White House is counting on the roll out of some of the law's new regulations consumer benefits. Starting on September 23, health insurance plans will be required to fully cover some preventive care benefits (which will be touted as "free," despite the fact that they're not), cover dependent children up to age 26, and will be prohibited from placing lifetime limits on coverage. But as The Wall Street Journal oh-socarefully notes, those "choices are expected to be more complicated and expensive as employers try to comply with the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, which will be phased in over a few years." All those new benefits, the Journal explains, come at the price of "higher premiums, co-pays and out-of-pocket expenses, according to

industry surveys." You can add fewer coverage choices to that list, too; some insurers are responding by chucking certain types of coverage; Anthem, for example, is dropping child-only policies on the same day that the new benefits kick in.

Insurers, already beset with rising prices due to other factors, have been attempting to warn the public that the new rules will result in additional rate increases—as much 9 percent. The administration and its supporters have tended to respond in two ways: 1) No. 2) Shut up. Connecticut AG Richard Blumenthal, for example, has expressed irritation that his state's department of insurance approved a slate of upcoming rate hikes, which he says are "outrageous," especially because the new benefit requirements had absolutely nothing to do with the hikes! "In so far as the companies are falsely blaming the health care reform law, these rate hike requests are particularly unacceptable," he told a local news broadcast. His implied question/complaint is becoming a common one: Can't we just order insurers to lower their rates? Connecticut might try, but that plan hasn't worked out so well in Massachusetts.

If there's an actual outrage to be found in this mess, though, it's Kathleen Sebelius' vaguely

Get Reason E-mail Updates! First Name

Reason RSS

Reason on Facebook

Reason on Twitter

Reason on YouTube

Last Name

Email

Submit

Manage your Reason e-mail list subscriptions

Site comments/questions: Mike Alissi

Media Inquiries and Reprint

Chris Mitchell (310) 367-6109

Editorial & Production Offices:

3415 S. Sepulveda Blvd. Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90034 (310) 391-2245

ADVERTISEMENTS

9/20/2010 1:30 PM 1 of 3