



WEBSITES *for*
CONSERVATIVE CAMPAIGNS
STARTING AT \$50/MONTH EASY TO UPDATE

Search

[HOME](#) [MEDIA CENTER](#) [2012 GOP PRESIDENTIAL RACE](#) [CONTACT](#)



[Log in](#)

[Join United Liberty](#)

In which I disagree with David Boaz

Wed, 12/29/2010 - 12:31pm | posted by Jason Pye

Like

Be the first of your friends to like this.

Over at [Cato @ Liberty](#), David Boaz is [taking up for former Sen. Chuck Hagel](#) (R-NE), who is being mentioned as a possible addition to the Obama Administration, against criticism from the right:

On Fox News's "Special Report" tonight, discussing potential new Cabinet members for President Obama, Weekly Standard senior writer Stephen Hayes dismissed former senator Chuck Hagel as "an anti-Republican Republican — somebody who's officially a Republican but in fact isn't all that Republican."

Really?

It's true that Hagel didn't always march in lockstep with the Bush-Cheney administration, whose loyal amanuensis Hayes has been. But is this really an "anti-Republican" record?

- *Voted for the Iraq war*
- *Voted for the Patriot Act*
- *Voted for the 2001 and 2003 tax cuts*
- *Voted against No Child Left Behind*
- *Voted against Bush's Medicare prescription drug bill*
- *Voted against McCain-Feingold*

*That's not a down-the-line Bush-McCain record. But would Hayes say it's not a Republican voting record? Hagel had a **lifetime rating of 84 percent** from the American Conservative Union and consistent **A and B grades** from the National Taxpayers Union. He did emerge in 2006 **as a critic of the Iraq war**. And his wife endorsed Obama in 2008.*

It's not often that I find myself in disagreement with David Boaz, but I do here.

Yes, Hagel has demonstrated some small government leanings in his past. However, you can't help but write the guy off after he [endorsed Joe Sestak](#) over Pat Toomey in the hotly contested United States Senate race in Pennsylvania because of the former's "courageous, independent thinking." Toomey, a believer in free markets and economic prosperity, [went on to defeat Sestak](#).

Of course, Hagel was full of it. Sestak [voted with his party 97.6% of the time](#) on an agenda that hardly represents the beliefs Hagel exhibited when he was in the Senate, which includes increasing regulations, imposing a disastrous health care law, expanding the war in Afghanistan and opposes tax cuts that will get the economy going.

I'm not saying that Boaz is wrong, but there perhaps some criticism of Hagel is valid considering his most recent actions.

Related Posts

- [Toomey supports DADT repeal](#)
- [Reactions to ObamaCare ruling](#)
- [House Democrats will bring tax cut deal to the floor](#)
- [The idiocy of conspiracy theories](#)
- [House Democrats block tax cuts for job creators](#)

82 READS

SHARETHIS

Tagged: Afghanistan Cato Institute Chuck Hagel

David Boaz Joe Sestak Pat Toomey

Pennsylvania Taxes Taxpayer

Post new comment

Your name: *

Anonymous

E-mail: *

The content of this field is kept private and will not be shown publicly.

Homepage:

Comment: *

Large empty text area for writing a comment.

Preview comment

Post comment



United Liberty Writers

- dmataconis I retract my previous criticism of NJ Lt Gov Kim Kim Guadagno...
jaseliber ty Thinking about spending my weekend moving my blog to WordPress...
dmataconis Anti-Gay Activist Phyllis Schlafly: How Dare Those Gay People Ask Us To Respect Them...
dmataconis Shorter Tucker Carlson: I'm a Christian, except for all that forgiveness bullshit...

Join the conversation

Barack Obama Harry Reid House Election 2010 John McCain mid-term election ObamaCare Polling Rand Paul Ron Paul Senate Election 2010 Tea Party tea party movement

more tags

ARCHIVES

- December 2010
November 2010
October 2010
September 2010
August 2010
July 2010
June 2010
May 2010

BLOGS & SITES

- Andrew Sullivan
Anything Peaceful
Cafe Hayek
Carpe Diem
Cato @ Liberty
Dave Weigel
David Friedman
Debtwatch
Division of Labour

Popular posts

Pat Robertson calls for drug law reform
Mon, 12/27/2010 - 12:35pm / 475 views

Arguing the Estate Tax
Wed, 12/22/2010 - 2:23pm / 428 views

Merry Christmas, Suckers
Sat, 12/25/2010 - 7:45pm / 391 views

Recent comments

In fact, under new health...
9 hours 46 min ago

Usually I do not write-up on...
16 hours 8 min ago

Great article....
23 hours 2 min ago

Contact us

Jason Pye, Editor-In-Chief

jason [at] unitedliberty [dot] org

[LinkedIn](#) [Twitter](#) [Facebook](#)

Brett Bittner, Assistant Editor

brett [at] unitedliberty [dot] org

[LinkedIn](#) [Twitter](#) [Facebook](#)

[more »](#)

[Home](#) [Media Center](#) [2012 GOP Presidential Race](#) [Contact](#)

[Privacy Policy](#) | © 2005-2009 UnitedLiberty.org. All rights reserved.

The views and opinions expressed by individual authors are not necessarily those of other authors, advertisers, developers or editors at United Liberty.