



## **Time to really negotiate with China over North Korea**

Doug Bandow

December 12, 2017

The Trump administration continues to expect China to solve the North Korea problem. Amid hostile rhetoric and nuclear threats, Washington wants the People's Republic of China to cut off trade with the North.

But the U.S. has been far less forthcoming in offering a quid for China's quo. Beijing has urged bilateral negotiations, suspension of annual U.S.-South Korea military exercises, and other changes in a "hostile policy" which Chinese officials argue has given rise to the North Korean nuclear program. So far President Trump insists on having everything America's way.

Making outrageous demands may work in the New York City real estate market. Alas, it won't bring newly empowered Chinese President Xi Jinping around. Beijing is angry at its nominal ally, but isn't interested in answering Washington's geopolitical prayers by effectively handing over the North to the U.S.

However, astute negotiation just might yield a good deal.

Chinese backing for Pyongyang looks softer than at a previous time since the end of the Korean War. The two nations' relations never have been easy. The North gave its larger neighbor few thanks for saving it from the allied counterattack after the failure of Kim Il-sung's invasion.

Relations may have reached their nadir after Mao disapproved of Kim's decision to pass power to his son, Kim Jong-il. Ties recently dipped again under Kim Jong-un, Jong-il's son, as North Korea accelerated its missile and nuclear testing. Even as economic links expanded political ties were frosty at best.

U.S. officials sometimes appear mystified at Beijing's refusal to enthusiastically adopt America's perspective toward the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. They should flip the situation around and think of Mexico. Would Washington accept a Chinese demand that might cause the collapse of the Mexican government, creating the possibility of civil war, loose nuclear weapons, humanitarian crisis, and mass refugee flows?

But there is obvious room for negotiation. China has continued its policy of modestly but steadily tightening sanctions on the North. So far it appears to be maintaining enforcement, long a matter of concern.

Moreover, there is evidence of a greater internal debate over the PRC's relationship with North Korea. In recent months professors Shen Zhihua at Dalian University and Jia Quingguo at Peking University have questioned Beijing's support for the North. Their views remain controversial and attracted sharp criticism, but were not censored.

The once unthinkable might now be possible. If the Trump administration addresses China's concerns.

First, what happens if tougher sanctions create a crisis in the North? The U.S. has the Pacific Ocean between it and any consequences. China is not so lucky. But America could help.

For instance, Washington and Seoul, which also should be involved in any discussions, could green-light Chinese intervention to stabilize the North Korean state and bolster more responsible leadership. The allies also could promise to assist Beijing in responding to any humanitarian disaster, such as caring for refugees.

Second, what are the long-term consequences if the Kim dynasty crashes? The widespread assumption is that Korean reunification is inevitable. However, many South Koreans see no imperative to knit together the two Koreas; some fear the economic costs and political consequences.

At the same time, Beijing doesn't desire a more potent competitor on its border which might attract ethnic Koreans living in the PRC's border provinces. China most assuredly does not want to see the peninsula become another means of military containment by the U.S.,

which suggests the opportunity for productive discussions. The Xi government might be less concerned about the future if it received assurances that whatever the Koreas become, they would not join with outside powers, meaning the U.S., against the PRC.

Washington could pledge the withdrawal of American forces from the peninsula once the North Korean threat recedes, whether through negotiation or collapse. Seoul could even promise military neutrality.

Finally, other DPRK neighbors, including Russia and Japan, should be included in talks over what to do if North Korea resisted. The famine in the North two decades ago demonstrated that it was not inclined to back down even in the face of great hardship. Enhanced sanctions might merely yield a poorer but angrier North.

The Trump administration has placed North Korea at the center of the U.S.-China relationship. But Washington cannot dictate. Now, more than ever, diplomacy is required. With a supposed dealmaker as president, Washington should make an offer to Beijing.

*Doug Bandow (chessset@aol.com) is a senior fellow at the Cato Institute. A former special assistant to President Ronald Reagan, he is author of "Tripwire: Korea and U.S. Foreign Policy in a Changed World" and co-author of "The Korean Conundrum: America's Troubled Relations*

*with North and South Korea."*