



Hillary "missile defense encircle China" would be counterproductive

Doug Bandow

October 24, 2016

People are optimistic Hillary Clinton become the next US president. Unfortunately, it seems she's China policy focuses on confrontation. Allegedly leaked in a recent e-mail, she privately threatened to "encircle China with missile defense," If the Chinese government can not allow North Korea serve soft. She also said the United States should "send more to the fleet in the region."

Her remarks confirm what has been put out: Hillary President Obama far more militant. She proposed policy would add a new US-China relations strained, and the Beijing provocative by nature toward the DPRK.

North Korea's nuclear program has become the biggest threat to security in Northeast Asia. Currently, North Korea is believed to already have enough to make 20 nuclear weapons, nuclear material. By 2020, North Korea may have nuclear material produced at least 50 or even 100 nuclear weapons.

These weapons matched with precise long-range missiles, North Korea does not repent of the evil ability will increase rapidly. Chinese understand the danger lies, you want to keep a denuclearized Korean Peninsula.

But China did not feel directly threatened by North Korea's nuclear program. Instead, China worried that his house collapse, chaos and refugees, economic pressure the United States if Beijing desired to North Korea, all of this is likely to occur.

Moreover, the US intention to contain China, the Chinese do not want to eliminate its military ally North Korea to promote the reunification of Korea. This will spawn a stronger US allies, which allows US troops, the army can destroy the Chinese border.

Beijing on the "North Korea problem" Washington is critical of. In the Chinese government view, it is the United States for decades led to hostility toward North Korea North Korea from developing nuclear weapons. Washington therefore have a responsibility to reduce the threat and dialogue with North Korea.

Hillary Clinton, the US government and Beijing's attitude apparently do not agree, but they should take this into consideration. To seek cooperation in Beijing on North Korea to address China's concerns may be most effective, it may be the only way.

Washington, for example, can create one of the official relations with North Korea, win-win solution put forward to achieve the denuclearization of the peninsula. The United States can ask China to support this effort. Or the United States may seek mandatory Chinese support, he promised that if North Korea to implode, Washington will provide assistance and withdrawal of all US military personnel in the peninsula after reunification.

In contrast, however, the US approach just told Beijing it what you want, when China can not meet when unwarranted charges. Gee! United States to other countries dictate the times when (if at all) has passed, no countries China gesticulating era is gone forever.

This leads to a number of recommendations of forcing Beijing to pressure North Korea. Arizona Republican Senator John McCain has suggested that the threat to the entire bilateral relations to achieve their goals. Others stand Hillary Clinton that the United States should take military counter-measures to thwart China and North Korea.

Perhaps indeed twelve kinds of unpleasant enough sanctions, may be pressed Beijing to act according to the wishes of the United States. But China's pain tolerance point may be high, perhaps higher than the determination of the American action.

After all, the rise of nationalism by the major powers do not want outsiders disposal. Take the experience of the United States, the two centuries ago, the face of the Barbary pirates, the Americans shouted "millions of dollars would prefer to resist, and never use a penny tribute!" I want to obey Beijing, the US government must do probably far more than its own or Hillary initially imagined.

In fact, The two countries plan to deploy "Sad" anti-missile system in South Korea, China's reaction is to firmly rejected. Beijing in recent years, Seoul's relationship with the greatly increasing frustration. Chinese foreign minister said China "will take the necessary measures to maintain the strategic balance between national security interests and the region."

Increase China's military threat is likely to lead to the same response. US richer and more powerful, but more Chinese stakeholders, which means that it is willing to pay more, willing to take more risks. In a sense, China as a weak side, we must make every effort to maintain the credibility of Washington trying to avoid meddling in other ways. No potential big Congress to allow this to happen.

Moreover, to resort to coercion, whether successful or not, will hurt future relations, which is the world's two most important countries is dangerous. A century ago, the German and Austro-Hungarian Empire in Bosnia dispute with Russia against Russian officials to make concessions, they have said, "Never again." But the summer of 1914, Russia's ally Serbia in relevant crisis, they refuse to compromise, which led to the outbreak of World War I in large part.

While no one would expect the occurrence of similar conflicts in East Asia, but various territorial dispute and North Korea's military provocations created numerous traps. The United States and

Japan, the Philippines and South Korea, the United States into alliance might even partial accidental events that may insignificant interests in Washington.

It will be appreciated US policymakers on China to continue to support North Korea frustrated. However, those who threaten Clinton advocated certainly be counterproductive. America can not exert sufficient pressure Stress Beijing do things contrary to their own interests. Any such attempt will reduce future willingness to cooperate Chinese people.

In contrast, the United States needs to re-learn the art of diplomacy to try to persuade rather than command. Doing so may not be as satisfying to give orders, but it is more likely to succeed. Success is the purpose of all countries dealing with North Korea.

Doug Bando (Doug Bandow), a senior fellow at the Cato Institute, a former special assistant to President Ronald Reagan, editing, writing too many books, including the co-author of "Mystery of Korea: The United States and North and South Korea trouble relations "(Palgrave / Macmillan Publishing).