

1AKING TING PRIORITI CHOI(



Doug Bandow,
Senior Fellow, Cato Institute

How can the Obama administration improve U.S.-China relations, while applying greater pressure on North Korea? According to Doug Bandow, this will be a difficult endeavor and require compromises from both nations.

The United States confronts increasingly complex challenges. Iraq faces disaster at the hands of Jihadist extremists, Syria's horrific civil war rages on, and Russia is underwriting separatist forces in Ukraine. Washington's policies are failing.

The Obama administration has been doing a little better, but not good enough, with China.

There is no open conflict between the two, but tensions are high. Territorial disputes throughout the South China Sea and Sea of Japan could flare into violence. North Korea is more disruptive than ever. Other important issues lurk in the background.

While there should be no surprise when important powers like the U.S. and People's Republic

taken in one area may affect responses in others. interdependence of issues, the fact that positions In particular, Washington seems to ignore the at making demands than negotiating solutions. such issues. Unfortunately, the U.S. is far better of China disagree, the two must work through

similar geopolitical shift to others.

Or the North might resist and collapse. China

cessfully resist Beijing's pressure, while making a power on its border. Or Pyongyang might sucor the West, leaving China with another hostile shifting its economic and political ties to Russia

to irritate the PRC but not to achieve anything if a few thousand Marines to Australia—enough attention to the region. In practice, the plan to Asia, or "rebalancing" of U.S. resources and For instance, the U.S. famously initiated a "pivot" hostilities occurred. hasn't amounted to much. Washington moved

hosting American troops on the Yalu.

cation, which would leave an expanded U.S. ally geopolitically would be eventual Korean reunifirefugees, and risking a violent spillover. Worse losing its economic position, facing a flood of then would face the prospect of chaos next door,

mands than negothe U.S. is far better at making detiating solutions Unfortunately,

reaffirm military alliancreason would the U.S. stupid. For what other of Zhongnanhai are not China. But the residents had nothing to do with claimed that the shift Washington implausibly

forces in Beijing's backes and augment military

or even actual intentions, but not Washington's professed PRC. What matters most are any campaign to contain the could play a significant role in the "pivot." 'A unified Korea ant for Beijing even without The latter would be unpleas-America's perceived inten-

the argument. ment. If only China would step on Pyongyang's as the vast majority of outside economic investthe PRC for most of its energy and food, as well sure on North Korea to end the latter's nuclear was pressing the PRC to apply greater preswindpipe North Korea would have to yield, runs program and constant provocations. The North has no other close relationships and relies on Yet at the same time the Obama administration

ship with its only ally in the region. ple's Republic of Korea would react. Attempting In fact, no one knows how the Democratic Peoto coerce Pyongyang would risk China's relation The U.S. acts as if it was asking for a small favor.

The DPRK might grudgingly give ground, while

the status quo-which favors America's friends for a peaceful resolution of disagreements is well parties including Japan. While Washington's call deployments, and treaties with several interested one side, maintaining defense relationships, the same problem. America is committed to ate territorial disputes in the region runs into and allies. founded, in practice it acts as an endorsement of The Obama administration's attempt to moder-

nations, such as the Philippines, also contribute the U.S.-led bloc as benign. However, America's to what Beijing perceives to be an attempt at senior ally is Japan, still remembered for its The PRC likely would be skeptical even if it saw U.S. demands? containment. World War II depredations in China. Other Why should China comply with

The U.S. has sought Beijing's aid in overthrowing the government of Syrian President Bashar al-Assad and forcing Iran to abandon any nuclear weapons ambitions. Washington sees these issues as matters of moral and practical necessity. However, the PRC's acquiescence would expand American influence and even perhaps create a new U.S. client state. That is not obviously in the PRC's interest, especially when America is seen as attempting to maintain its dominance in East Asia.

attempting to preserve its are coming from a country ington's military moves. tion in China's neighborhood privileged geopolitical posi-Talk of values and rights may be seen to be no less can pressure on Beijing to While human rights are not threatening than Wash-Party's monopoly of power hostile to the Communist respect political activities a security question, Ameribe considered in isolation. Other issues also cannot

Much can be achieved if the world's superpower and incipient superpower develop a sustained cooperative relationship.

America. Relations with China, the world's second largest economy and potential military superpower, matter far more.

There inevitably will be disagreements and misunderstandings. The two nations must manage such controversies. And doing so will require recognizing that issues are interrelated. In particular, the U.S. must accept the necessity of trade-offs, most notably that it cannot be seen as leading a coalition against Beijing if it hopes to convince the PRC to adopt policies seemingly

against its own geopolitical interests, such as sacrificing its ally North Korea.

Much can be ächieved if the world's superpower and incipient superpower develop a sustained cooperative relationship, as did imperial Great Britain and rising America. That requires Washington to manage the important even as it confronts the urgent.

Moreover, U.S. attempts to convince Beijing to combat climate change by limiting energy use—which would inevitably slow China's economic growth—look more sinister when Washington is working to constrain the PRC's influence. Talk of necessary sacrifice is less convincing in the context of the larger geopolitical struggle. Suggesting that this policy is part of a sinister plot gives Washington too much credit, but perception matters more than reality.

In foreign policy the urgent often pushes out the important. The Ukrainian and Syrian conflicts, for instance, are dominating headlines today. But over the long-term the status of Ukraine and Syria are not important, let alone vital, to