

Nov. 19, 2009 Driving the Conversation: Arena Ref: Fred Barbash

The Health Care Arena

The Reid Bill: "holy war?"

Nov. 19, 2009

Share this	BOOKMARK	Print	Email



Michael F. Cannon Cato, Dir. of Health Policy Studies :

Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid (D-NV) has finally unveiled his massive **2,074-page health care bill**. The Congressional Budget Office

reports that the insurance-expansion provisions would cost the feds \$848 billion over 10 years. To raise those funds, the bill would **tax** wages, medical devices, prescription drugs, sick people, health insurance premiums (twice), HSAs, FSAs, HRAs, and -- why not? -- cosmetic surgery. The remainder would supposedly come from \$491 billion of Medicare cuts, even though Medicare's chief actuary **says** such cuts are "unrealistic" and "doubtful." But don't worry. Somehow, this thing's gonna reduce the deficit.

Of course, that \$848 billion only accounts for *part* of the *federal government's* share of the tab. There is other new federal spending. My read is that the CBO estimates \$998 billion of total new federal spending -- though I'll be waiting for former CBO director **Donald Marron** to provide a more authoritative tally.

And then there are costs that Reid and his comrades have pushed off the federal budget. For example, the \$25 billion unfunded mandate that Reid would impose on states. Total so far: just over \$1 trillion.

But the biggest hidden cost is that of the private-sector mandates. In both the **Clinton health plan** and the **Massachusetts health plan**, the private-sector mandates – the legal requirements **that individuals and employers purchase health insurance** – accounted for 60 percent of total costs. That suggests that if the Reid bill's cost to federal and state governments is \$1 trillion, then the total cost is probably \$2.5 trillion, and Harry Reid -- like House Speaker Nancy Pelosi -- is hiding \$1.5 trillion of the cost of his bill.

Without a cost estimate of the private-sector mandates, Reid has not yet satisfied the request made by **eight Democratic senators** for a "complete CBO score" of the bill 72 hours prior to floor consideration.

Fortunately, eventually, by law, the CBO must score the private-sector mandates. When that happens, the CBO will reveal costs that the bills' authors are trying to hide. When that happens, the CBO will present the new federal spending on page 1, new state spending maybe on page 10, and the cost of the private-sector mandates on page 20 or something. Democrats will tout the figure on page 1. But the bill's total cost will the sum of those three figures – a sum that will reveal the costs that the bill's authors have been hiding.

The House passed its bill without a complete CBO score. The Senate should not follow suit.

I've written previously about this massive fraud **here**, **here**, **here**, **and here**. (Cross-posted at Cato@Liberty.)

Subject	Date
Obama in China: Engaging or weak? Where's the pay-off?	Nov. 18, 2009
Has Obama "bet his presidency," as Pat Buchanan says, on the outcome of the terror trials in NYC?	Nov. 17, 2009
Climate: How big a deal is it that there will be no big deal at Copenhagen? Are you pleased or displeased about it?	Nov. 16, 2009
Open Mic	Nov. 14, 2009
Debate: Terror Trials in NY: The Obama administration's decision to try 9/11 suspects in Federal court	Nov. 13, 2009
"Going Rogue" special: Can Sarah Palin make a political comeback?	Nov. 13, 2009
Will you miss Lou Dobbs?	Nov. 12, 2009

© 2009 Capitol News Company LLC Please read our Privacy Policy. By using this site, you accept our Terms of Service.